

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**

**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.469 of 2018**

**In**

**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 280 of 2016**

=====

Anil Kumar, Son of Late Bhukhan Mahto, Resident of Village- Itasang,  
P.O.- Itasang, P.S.- Rahui, District- Nalanda.

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Smt. A.N. Vijayalakshmi, Animal Husbandry Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Sri Radhe Shyam Sah, the Director, Dairy Development Directorate, Animal Husbandry Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Sri Ajay Kumar Jha, the Deputy Director (Headquarter), Dairy Development Directorate, Animal Husbandry Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Sri Dinanath, the Deputy Director (Dairy), Muzaffarpur, Regional Dairy Development Officer, Muzaffarpur.
5. Sri Pramod Kumar Jha, the District Dairy Development Officer, Directorate Government of Bihar, Patna.
6. Dr. Balmiki Sharma, the District Vetnary Officer, Arwal.
7. Sri Satya Prakash, the Dairy Field Officer, Arwal.
8. Sri Snajay Kumar, the District Dairy Development Officer, Arwal.

.... .... Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ranjeet Kumar, Adv.  
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Md. Khurshid Alam - AAG 12  
Mr. Arun Kumar Bhagat, Adv.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN**  
**ORAL ORDER**

5      12-09-2018      It is following the order passed on 29.08.2018 that a show cause is filed on behalf of the opposite party nos. 1 to 5 and 8 through Mr. Arun Kumar Bhagat AC to AAG12 and in reference to the statement made in paragraphs 6 and 7 thereof it is submitted by Mr. Bhagat that the order has been complied and the consequential benefits to the tune of Rs. 14,46,380/- has been



deposited in the account of the petitioner and a letter to that effect is also finds enclosed in the show cause at Annexure C.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the statement present at paragraphs 6 and 7 of the show cause filed today I dispose of this application with liberty to the petitioner to move the appropriate authority in case he disputes the quantification of the consequential benefits.

The contempt application is disposed of with the liberty aforementioned.

**(Jyoti Saran, J)**

Bibhash/Ranveer

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

