

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18603 of 2015

=====

Vimal Kumar Yadav, son of Late Yamuna Pd. Yadav, resident of village +
P.O.- Rahatpur, P.s.- Balia, District- Begusarai, At present residing at village-
Pipra (Bhelua), P.S. + District- Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Education,
Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Director, Department of Primary Education, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Koshi Division, Saharsa
4. The District Education officer, Saharsa
5. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Saharsa
6. The Block Education Officer, Simri Bakhtiyarpur, District- Saharsa

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pramod Mishra
For the Respondent/s : Mr. A K Rastogi, AAG 10

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

2 04-12-2018 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Without taking into consideration the controversy whether the petitioner would be entitled to the benefit of service and consequential benefits from the date of initial appointment, the writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh representation addressed to the Director, Primary Education, who shall enquire into the matter and if similarly circumstanced others have been granted the benefit from the date of initial appointment, he may extend the similar benefits to the petitioner following the policy in paragraph- 4(c) of the Bihar State Litigation Policy and in view of the principles laid



down in the judgment of the Full Bench of this Court reported in
2018(2) PLJR 929.

With the aforesaid, the writ petition stands disposed
of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

mrl./-

U			
---	--	--	--

