

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10983 of 2017

- =====
1. Harihar Prasad Yadav, Son of Late Chutharu Yadav, Resident of Village-Dudhaula, PO Dighia PS Nirmali, District Supaul.
 2. Jai Prakash Karn, Son of Late Satya Narayan Lal Das, Resident of Village + PO -Sikarhata, Ward No. 15, Block Nirmali, District Supaul.
 3. Laxmi Ram, Son of Shri Chulhai Ram, Resident of Village + PO-Nirmali, Ward No.7, District-Supaul.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Commissioner-cum-Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Commissioner-cum-Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. The Directorate, Primary Education Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Principal Secretary, General Administration Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
5. The Director, Mass Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
6. The District Magistrate, Supaul
7. The District Education Officer, Supaul, District Supaul
8. The District Programme Officer, Supaul District Supaul

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ravi Ranjan, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Madhaw Pd. Yadav-Gp23
Meera Singh, AC to GP-23

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

3 17-01-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the
counsel for the State.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioners submits that earlier the Tribunal was non-functional
and as such he has approached this court as there was no
alternative remedy available. However, during the pendency of the
writ petition, the Tribunal was made functional and in view of the



aforesaid, he submits that the petitioners may be permitted to withdraw this application with liberty to approach the Committee constituted vide memo no. 2530 dated 4.10.2017.

In case, the petitioners approach the Committee, the Committee is required to address the grievance of the petitioners in accordance with law within a period of sixty days from the date of filing of such representation. Since the petitioners were pursuing remedy in this court by way of filing present writ petition, the Committee shall examine the case of the petitioners, ignoring the delay if any in approaching the Committee.

With the aforesaid, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

