

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.2185 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -2151 Year- 2014 Thana -BHOJPUR COMPLAINT CASE District-
BHOJPUR

=====

1. Rinku Devi @ Rinku Jha, wife of Sanjay Upendra Jha

2. Upendra Jha, Son of Late Sudhawar Jha

3. Rajesh Jha, Son of Upendra Jha

4. Laxmi Jha, Son of Rudra Narayan Jha @ Rudal Jha

All residents of Village - Jarail, Benipatti, P.S. - Arer, District - Madhubani.

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

2. Kameshwar Prasad Singh, son of Late Indradeo Singh at present resident of mohalla - Kuwar Singh Nagar, Near Sudha Diary, Kathira, P.S.- Ara Nawada, District - Bhojpur, Permanent resident of Village - Sendaha, P.S. - Tarari, District - Bhojpur.

.... Opposite Parties

With

Criminal Miscellaneous No.58621 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -2151 Year- 2014 Thana -BHOJPUR COMPLAINT CASE District-
BHOJPUR

=====

Sanjay Upendra Jha, S/o Upendra Jha, R/o Village- Jarail Benipatti, P.S.- Arer, District- Madhubani.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

2. Kameshwar Prasad Singh S/o Late Indradeo Singh, At present of Mohalla- Kunwarsinghnagar, Near Sudha Dairy Kathira, P.S.- Ara Nawada, District- Bhojpur (Ara).

.... Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

(In Cr.Misc. No.2185 of 2017)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anil Kumar Saxena, Advocate



For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dashrath Mehta, APP
(In Cr.Misc. No.58621 of 2017)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Choudhary Shyam Nandan, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mithilesh Kumar Khare, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

11 01-05-2018 It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that during the pendency of the these two applications preferred under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing the order dated 09.12.2014 arising out of Complaint Case No.2151(C) of 2014 passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate-1st Class, Arrah, a compromise has already been arrived at between the respective petitioners and the complainant-opposite party no.2 and compromise petition has already been filed before the court below. In view of the changed circumstances, he submitted that these applications may be disposed of as infructuous.

Considering the submission made above, the applications are disposed of as infructuous.

(Ashwani Kumar Singh, J.)

Sanjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

