

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1690 of 2018

- =====
1. Praveen Kumar Jha, Son of Gangadhar Jha, Resident of Village-ram Khetari, P.S.-Bhairav Asthan, District-Madhubani.
 2. Dhiraj Kumar Jha, Son of Jatashankar Jha, Resident of Village-ram Khetari, P.S.-Bhairav Asthan, District-Madhubani.
 3. Archana Kumari, Wife of Sri Satish Kumar Jha, Resident of Village-Kaithinia, P.S.-Lakhnaur, District-Madhubani at Present D/o Chandra Kant Jha Resident of Village-Ram Khetari, P.S.-Bhairav Asthan, District-Madhubani.
 4. Kamlesh Kumar Chaudhary, Son of Kirti Narayan Chaudhary, Resident of Village-ram Khetari, P.S.-Bhairav Asthan, District-Madhubani.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board through the Secretary, Back of Harding Road, Flat No.17, Patna.
4. The Chairman, Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Back of Harding Road, Flat No.17, Patna.
5. The Secretary, Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Back of Harding Road, Flat No. 17, Patna.
6. The Special Director, (Sanskrit) Secondary Education, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
7. The Collector, Madhubani.
8. The District Education Officer, Madhubani.
9. The District Programme Officer, Madhubani.
10. The Managing Committee of Bachchan Sanskrit Primary-Cum-Middle School, Ramkhetari through its Secretary Lakhan Mandal, S/o Late Bachchan Mandal, Resident of Village-Ram-Khetari, P.S.-Bhairav Asthan, District-Madhubani.
11. Lakhan Mandal, S/o Late Bachchan Mandal, Resident of Village-Ramkhetari, P.S.-Bhairav Asthan, District-Madhubani.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Surya Kant Mishra, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Madanjit Kumar, GP-20
For the B.S.S.B. : Mr. S. S. Sundram, Advocate
Mr. Shashank Shekhar Jha, Advocate
For Resp. nos.11 & 11. : Mr. Durga Nand Jha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

4 11-05-2018

In view of the fact that the grievance of the



petitioners has been redressed, during the pendency of the writ application, the present writ application has lost its utility.

It is, accordingly, disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Uday/-

U			
---	--	--	--

