

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10590 of 2017

=====

1. Surendra Prasad Sahani Son of late Raghubar Sahani, Resident of Village + P.O. Hussaipur Dobandha, P.S. Sahebganj, Dist-Muzaffarpur Was Posted in Government Primary School Barji Chaurghatti Owlar Under Motipur Block, Dist-Muzaffarpur as Panchayat teacher

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Bihar State Primary Education, Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Muzaffarpur
5. The District Superintendent of Education, Muzaffarpur.
6. The District Education Officer, Muzaffarpur.
7. The District Programme Officer, Muzaffarpur
8. The Block Development Officer Motipur Block, Muzaffarpur
9. The Block Education Officer, Motipur Block, Muzaffarpur
10. The Mukhiya Barji Panchayat, Motipur Block Muzaffarpur
11. The Up Mukhiya, Barji Panchayat, Motipur Block, District Muzaffarpur.
12. Panchayat Sewak Cum-Panchayat Secretary, Barji Panchayat, Motipur Block, Dist-Muzaffarpur
13. The District Teacher Employment Appellate Authority, Muzaffarpur.
14. Pappu Kumar Son of Rampukar Sah, Resident of Village-Barji Barji, P.S.+ Dist.-Muzaffarpur.
15. Bina Kumari Wife of Vikash Sah Resident of Village-Brit Barji, Block + P.S. Motipur, Dist-Muzaffarpur
16. Kiran Kumari Wife of Ajay Kumar Sahi, Resident of Village + P.S. Baruraj, Dist- Muzaffarpur.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Siyaram Pandey
For the Respondent/s : Mr. S.C. Mishra- Sc16

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR
UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

2 07-05-2018 After some argument, the learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to withdraw this writ application in order to approach the State Appellate Authority considering the fact that petitioner



was under the impression that at the time when the writ petition was filed, the State Appellate Authority was not functional.

In case the petitioner files appeal within the period of one month from today along with copy of this order, the State Appellate Authority is required to decide the appeal on its own merit. The State Appellate Authority will consider the desirability of condoning the delay in view of the fact that the writ petition filed by the petitioner remain pending for nearly one year.

With the aforesaid, this writ application is disposed of accordingly.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Sanjeev/-

U			
---	--	--	--

