

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15272 of 2016

=====

Indra Narayan Das, Son of Late Mathura Lal Das, Resident of Road No. 8, Plot No. 12, Shri Krishna Nagar, Patna- 800001, P.S.- Budha Colony, District- Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Anugraha Narayan Sinha Institute of Social Studies, Patna through its Director.
3. The Director, Anugraha Narayan Sinha Institute of Social Studies, Patna.
4. The Registrar, Anugraha Narayan Sinha Institute of Social Studies, Patna.
5. The Board of Control, Anugraha Narayan Sinha Institute of Social Studies, Patna through its Chairman.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mrs. Anju Jha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. S.C. 16
For the A.N. Sinha Institute	:	Mr. Piyush Lall, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 07-05-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Anugraha Narayan Sinha Institute of Social Studies.

2. Though the petitioner had moved the Court for the following reliefs:

“That this application is being filed for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondents to grant the post retiral dues of gratuity and leave encashment including arrears of difference amount of pay on account of 6th pay revision w.e.f. 01.01.2006 and 20% of remaining arrears on account of grant of first A.C.P. to the



petitioner along with interest @ of 12% per annum on delayed payment who superannuated on 31.07.2013 from the post of Assistant from Anugrah Narayan Sinha Institute of Social Studies, Patna.”

but after some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner sought permission of the Court to withdraw the writ petition for taking up the matter before the appropriate forum in terms of the judgment dated 13.03.2018 passed in L.P.A. No. 1018 of 2017.

3. Having regard to the aforesaid, the writ petition stands disposed off with liberty aforesaid.

4. It is made clear that the Court has not expressed any opinion with regard to the merits of the matter.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J)

Anjani/-

AFR/NAFR	
U	

