

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10956 of 2017

- =====
1. Birmani Kumar, Son of Sri Chandra Bhushan Prasad, Resident of Nalanda Photostat, M.I.G. 159, Lohiyanagar, P.S.- Kankarbag, District- Patna.
 2. Robin Kumar, son of Raja Ram Paswan, Resident of Village Sahdani, P.S.- Sariya, District- Muzaffarpur.
 3. Anish Nandan, Son of Babban Prasad Upadhyay, Resident of Village Mangraon, P.S. Nasriganj, District- Rohtas.
 4. Rajeev Kumar, son of Bal Binod Prasad, Resident of C/o- Birendra Kumar, P.S.- Rahui, District- Nalanda.
 5. Kamlesh Kumar, Son of Sri Arjun Prasad Singh, Resident of C/O Premnath, Bind Kothi, Mohalla- Naisarai, P.S.- Biharsharif, District- Nalanda.
 6. Abhijeet Ranjan, son of Ram Krishna Prasad, Resident of Ramkyore Bhawan, Dhanekar Ghat Biharsharif, District- Nalanda, Pin- 803101.
 7. Vijay Kumar, Son of Mahendra Prasad, Resident of Village- Ishua, P.O. Pawapuri, District- Nalanda, Pin- 803115.
 8. Anand Kumar, Son of Late Ganga Prasad Roy, resident of C/o Ram Dana Devi, Ranchi Dairy, H.G.C. Sector- II, P.S.- Dhurua, District- Jharkhand.
 9. Sachindra Kumar, son of Sahdeo Prasad, Resident of Village- Dangitola, P.O.- Rajgir, District- Nalanda.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Personnel and Administrative Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Principal Secretary, Animal Husbandary and Fisheries Department, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Vikas Bhawan, Patna.
5. The Director, Directorate of Dairy Development, Animal Husbandary and Fisheries Department, New Secretariat, Vikas Bhawan, Patna.
6. The Deputy Director, Directorate of Dairy Development, Animal Husbandary and Fisheries Department, New Secretariat, Vikas Bhawan, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Suryakant Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Md. Khurshid Alam- Aag12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 17-04-2018

Heard.



The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case he is granted a liberty to file representation before the respondent no.2 and the respondent no.2 may be directed to dispose of the representation of the petitioner within a specified time frame.

Accordingly, it is directed that the petitioner shall file a composite representation before the respondent no.2 regarding his grievances raised in the writ petition within a period of two weeks from today and the respondent no.2 is directed to dispose of the same within a period of four weeks thereafter.

The writ petition is disposed of on the aforesaid terms.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

BTiwary/-

U			
---	--	--	--

