

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9950 of 2015

=====

Raju Kumar, son of Om Prakash, resident of- Birua Chak, Mehdiganj, Patna,
Consumer No-288323.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Principal Secretary, Energy Department Bihar, Patna.
2. The Bihar State Power (Holding) Company Limited, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna, through its Chairman-cum- Managing Director.
3. The South Bihar Power Distribution Company Limited, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna, through its Director.
4. The Electrical Executive Engineer, Electric Supply Division Gulzarbagh Sandalpur, Patna, South Bihar Power Distribution Company Limited, Vidyut Bhawan.

.... Respondents

with

=====

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 9952 of 2015

=====

Sarwan Kumar, S/o Raghuvir Prasad, At- Birua Chak, Mehdiganj, Patna,
Consumer No.- 412050.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Principal Secretary, Energy Department Bihar, Patna.
2. The Bihar State Power (Holding) Company Limited, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna, through its Chairman-cum- Managing Director.
3. The South Bihar Power Distribution Company Limited, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna, through its Director.
4. The Electrical Executive Engineer, Electric Supply Division Gulzarbagh Sandalpur, Patna, South Bihar Power Distribution Company Limited, Vidyut Bhawan.

.... Respondents

with

=====

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 10015 of 2015

=====

Rajdeep Kumar, son of Late Lal Babu Mahto, At- Birua Chak, Mehdiganj, Patna,
Consumer No-412124.



.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Principal Secretary, Energy Department.
2. The Bihar State Power (Holding) Company Limited, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna, through its Chairman-cum- Managing Director.
3. The South Bihar Power Distribution Company Limited, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna, through its Director.
4. The Electrical Executive Engineer, Electric Supply Division Gulzarbagh Sandalpur, Patna, South Bihar Power Distribution Company Limited, Vidyut Bhawan.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

(In CWJC No.9950 of 2015)

- For the Petitioner : Mr. Suraj Samdarshi, Advocate.
For the Company : Mr. Vinay Kirti Singh, Sr. Advocate.
Mr. Akhileshwar Singh, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Harish Kumar, GP-8

(In CWJC No.9952 of 2015)

- For the Petitioner : Mr. Suraj Samdarshi, Advocate.
For the Company : Mr. Vinay Kirti Singh, Sr. Advocate.
Mr. Akhileshwar Singh, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Jitendra Kumar, AC to AAG-14

(In CWJC No.10015 of 2015)

- For the Petitioner : Mr. Suraj Samdarshi, Advocate.
For the Company : Mr. Vinay Kirti Singh, Sr. Advocate.
Mr. Akhileshwar Singh, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Harish Kumar, GP-8

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 10-10-2018

These matters involve a common issue and have been taken up together for disposal at the admission stage itself with consent of the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the appeals filed by the petitioners have since been disposed of in terms of the respective appellate orders as contained in Annexure-1 of each of



the writ petitions, but the same have yet to be given effect to by the respondents.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents appears but is unable to inform the present status of the matter. No counter affidavit has been filed till date.

4. Having regard to the nature of the grievance of the petitioners, this Court is of the view that the ends of justice will be met if the respondent authorities are directed to comply and give effect to the relevant orders passed in appeal in the respective writ petitions, if the same have attained finality, expeditiously and without undue delay.

5. The writ petitions stand disposed of.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Md. Ibrarul/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	10.10.2018
Transmission Date	N.A.

