

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Review No.83 of 2018

Arising out of

Letters Patent Appeal No. 1307 of 2015

Along with

Interlocutory Application No. 4602 of 2018

In

Civil Review No.83 of 2018

=====

Yugal Kishore Singh, son of Radhe Shyam Singh, Resident of Village- Mahasi,
P.O- Lukagadh, P.S.- Obra (Luka), District- Aurangabad

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Primary Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Primary Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Aurangabad.
5. The District Superintendent of Education, Aurangabad.
6. The Block Development Officer, Obra Block, District- Aurangabad.
7. The secretary of Gram Panchayat Bal, P.S. – Obra, District-Aurangabad.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :

For the Respondent/s :

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD)

Date: 12-09-2018

Heard counsel for the petitioner and State.

Re.: Interlocutory Application No. 4602 of 2018

2. The present Interlocutory Application has been filed
for condoning the delay in filing of the Review Application.

3. For the reasons indicated in paragraphs no. 4 and 5 of
the Interlocutory Application, the delay is condoned.

4. Interlocutory Application No. 4602 of 2018 stands
disposed off.

Re.: Civil Review No. 83 of 2018

5. Counsel for the petitioner has made submissions to the



extent that the degree which was acquired by him may be considered by this Court as he had made endeavours to acquire the qualification within time.

6. The submissions made by counsel for the petitioner have already been considered by the Writ Court as well as the L.P.A. Bench. This Court is exercising review jurisdiction and cannot embark on a re-hearing on the issue.

7. No ground has been made out to invoke the review jurisdiction of this Court. In the grounds mentioned in the Review Application itself the petitioner has indicated that he had acquired the qualification of *Upshastri* examination late.

8. In view of the aforesaid findings and since no ground has been made for invoking the review jurisdiction, this Court would dismiss the Review Application.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J)

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

Anjani/-

AFR/NAFR	
U	

