

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16089 of 2013

=====

M/S Icsa (India) Limited, A Company Incorporated Under The Provision Of Companies Act, 1956 Having Its Registered Office At Plot No. 13, Software Units Layout, Cyberabd, Hyderabad- 500081 Through Its Authorized Representative Sri Bogineni Vankata Rao, Son Of Sri Bogineni Narasayya, Resident Of Balkampet P.S. S.R. Nagar, Town And District Hyderabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Bihar State Power (Holding) Co. Ltd. Formerly Known As The Bihar State Electricity Board Having Its Office At Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna Through Its Chairman
2. The North Bihar Power Distribution Company Limited, Having Its Office Of Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna Through Its Managing Director
3. The Managing Director, North Bihar Power (Holding) Co. Ltd., Vidyut Bhavan, Bailey Road, Patna
4. The Chief Engineer, (R. E.) Bihar State Power (Holding) Co. Ltd., Vidyut Bhavan, Bailey Road, Patna

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. R. R. Tiwari, Advocate Mr. Gautam Kumar Kejriwal
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Anand Kumar Ojha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 30-11-2018

The present writ petition has been filed for the following reliefs :-

(i) For quashing of the order vide letter no.1923 dated 10.08.2013 by which the respondent North Bihar Power Distribution Company Limited (governed under the provisions of Electricity Act, 2003) has terminated the petitioner from continuing the Electrification work in the district of Katihar under Rajeev Gandhi Gramin Viduytikaran Yojna (RGGVY for short) as the impugned order is arbitrary and illegal.



(ii) For issuance of direction to the respondents to allow time table January, 2014 to complete the Electrification work in the left over villages;

(iii) For issuance of appropriate writ or direction to the Respondent to not to take any coercive measure against the petitioner in respect of its RGGVY work allotted for the district of Katihar and to grant reasonable time up to 6 months to the petitioner to complete the Rural Electrification work in the district of Katihar which has got delayed due to various reasons; and / or for any other relief(s) for which the petitioner may be found entitled to in the facts and circumstances of the present case.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during the pendency of the writ petition, the grievance of the petitioner has been redressed and as such the same need not be pressed.

3. Leander counsel for the respondents appears and submits that he has got no objection in this regard.

4. The writ petition stands disposed of.

(Vikash Jain, J)

sanjeev/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	03/12/2018
Transmission Date	NA

