

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7481 of 2018

-
1. Binita Soren, D/o Munshi Soren, Resident of Chitaurai, Basgarha, P.S.-Mansahi, District-Katihar.
 2. Meena Kumari Marandi, D/o Ram Marandi, Resident of Pradhan Tola, Madhubani, P.S.-K.Hat, District-Purnea.
 3. Chandmuni Kumari, D/o-Babulal Marandi, Resident of Karbola, Salehpur, P.S.-Falka, District-Katihar.
 4. Gudiya Kumari Baski, D/o rameshwar Baski, Resident of Government B.N. Welfare Hostel, room number 7, near Prabhat Colony, P.S.-K.Hat, District-Purnea.
 5. Jyoti Kumari, D/o Madan Baski, Resident of Mariyam Nagar, Kachahari, P.S.-K.Hat, District-Purnea.
 6. Kiran Hansda, D/o Bhimsen Hansda, Resident of Pradhan Tola, Madhubani, P.S.-K.Hat, District-Purnea.
 7. Neelam Kumari Hansda, D/o Lal Hansda, resident of Girls School Road, Mariyam Nagar, Kachahari, P.S.-K.Hat. District-Purnea.
 8. Rashmi Soren, D/o Shyam Soren, Resident of Baskaghar, P.S.-K. hat, District-Purnea.
 9. Nomi Lakra, D/o Harilal Lakra, Resident of Saloni Nagar, Oil Tola, Chunapur, P.S.-K. Hat, District-Purnea.
 10. Helen Soren, D/o-Daniel Soren, Resident of Nima Pokhri Tola, Nima Mahihari, P.S.-Mahihari, District-Katihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Home Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Central Selection Board of Constables, through its Chairman, Shri Sai Tara Complex, I.A.S. Colony, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Patna, Bihar.
3. The Chairman, Central Selection Board of Constables, Sri Shri Sai Tara, Complex, I.A.S.Colony, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Patna, Bihar.
4. The Secretary, Central Selection Board of Constables, Shri Shri Sai Tara Complex, I.A.S. Colony, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Patna, Bihar.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rakesh Chandra, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Mithlesh Prasad Singh, AC to GA4
For the CSBC	:	Mr. Sanjay Pandey, Adv.
		Mr. Binod Kr. Mishra, Adv.
		Mr. Vivek Anand Amritesh, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 05-12-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and counsel or the State.



In the present case, the petitioners have raised a grievance that they have successfully completed all the tests but, they have wrongly been deprived of their selection.

A counter affidavit has been filed, therein it has been stated that Mina Kumari Marandi, the petitioner no.2 and Helen Soren, the petitioner no.10 could not succeed in the long jump as they have failed to jump 9 feet. So far the petitioner nos. 5, 8 and 9 are concerned, they are short by height, they filed appeal and their height was re-measured and they were again found short in height. So far rest of the petitioners are concerned, they have not filed any appeal against their measurement conducted at the time of physical evaluation test.

This Court cannot give any relief to the petitioner nos. 2 and 10 as they have failed in the long jump. So far rest of the petitioners are concerned, this Court directs the Board to conduct re-measurement of these petitioners. In the event, they are found to be of desired height, the consequences will follow in their favour.

With the aforementioned observation, this writ application is disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

rishi/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	13.12.2018
Transmission Date	

