

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.606 of 2015

=====

Ramjit Kumar Son of Late Ram Bilash Thakur Resident of Village and Post- Sri
Rampur, P.S.- Sherghati, District- Gaya Petitioner

Versus

1. The Union of India through Inspector General of Police, Central Reserve Police Force, Eastern Zone, Patna.
 2. Deputy Inspector General, Central Reserve Police Force, Patna.
 3. Commandant, 47 Battalion, Central Reserve Police Force, Koilwar, Ara, Bihar.
.... .. Respondents
- =====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Arvind Kumar Sinha, Advocate

For the UOI : Mr. Anjani Kr. Sharan, Asstt. Solicitor General

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 20-03-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned
counsel for the Union of India.

The petitioner has prayed for quashing of the order
communicated vide letter dated 3.3.2014 (Annexure 4), issued by
the Commandant, Central Reserve Police Force (CRPF), 47
Battalion, Koilwar, Ara (Bihar) by which petitioner's claim for
appointment on compassionate ground has been rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the father
of the petitioner died in harness on 29.5.2011 while serving as a
constable in the CRPF. Application for compassionate
appointment was made on behalf of petitioner's elder brother which
was not entertained by the respondent authorities vide
communication dated 22.11.2013 (Annexure 1) on the ground of



his being overage. Thereafter, the petitioner made his claim for the compassionate appointment which has also been rejected by the respondent authorities vide communication dated 3.3.2014 (Annexure 4) on the ground that he is the married son of the deceased employee and a married son is not considered dependent on a Government servant in view of the Government's policy contained in DoP & T's Memo no. 14014-02/2012-Establishment (D) dated 30.5.2013 (Annexure A to the counter affidavit).

The clarification/policy of the Government, in relation to appointment on compassionate ground, contained in Annexure A, is uniformly applied to all the concerned. The petitioner has not challenged the aforesaid clarification of the Government.

In view of the specific stand of the respondent authorities, the writ petition is devoid of merit and is thus dismissed.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

Shashi.

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	21.3.2018
Transmission Date	NA

