

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2560 of 2016

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 3035 of 2013

=====

Ram Naresh Prasad Sah, S/o Late Bhagwan Sah, R/o Village Bagmali, P.O. Hajipur, P.S. Hajipur Nagar, Dist. Vaishali.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Dr. Dharmendra Singh Gangwasr, the State of Bihar through its Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. M Ram Chandra Du, the Director (The Primary Education) Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. Mr. Deepak Anand, the District Magistrate, Saran at Chapra.
4. Mr. Suni Kumar, the Deputy Development Commissioner, Saran.
5. Mr. Awadesh Bihari, the Deputy Development Commissioner, Saran.
6. Mr. Dilip Kumar, the District Program Officer (Establishment), Saran.
7. Mr. Diwakar Singh, the Block Education Officer, Parsa, Dist. Saran.
8. The Area Officer, Amnour Block, Dist. Saran (now days post is not available).
9. Mr. S Suresh Kumar, the Accountant General, Bihar, Patna.
10. Mr. Kundan Alok, the District Provident Fund Officer, Saran.
11. Mr. Rajiv Kumar Gupta, the Treasury Officer, Saran.

.... Contemnors/Opp. Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shashi Bhushan Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Mr. A P Singh, SC15

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 07-02-2018

In this case, the petitioner is praying for initiation of contempt proceeding against the opposite parties for not complying the order of this Court dated 16.2.2016 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 3035 of 2013.

A show-cause has been filed brining on record the order dated 9.4.2016.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the order of this Court has not been complied as the order has not been



passed in terms of the order passed by this Court. Learned counsel for the opposite parties has pointed out that in pursuance of the direction given by this Court, the order dated 9.4.2016 has been passed.

In the contempt proceeding, there is limited scope as the Court has only directed for disposal of the case of the petitioner. If the petitioner is aggrieved of the aforesaid order dated 9.4.2016, he is at liberty to challenge the same before the appropriate forum/authority/court.

Accordingly, the contempt proceeding is dropped.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Rishi/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	12.02.2018
Transmission Date	NA

