

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.22072 of 2012

=====

Ganga Prasad Singh S/O - Gopal Mahto Resident Of Village - Harpur Pusa, P.S. +
P.O. - Pusa, District - Samastipur

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Rajendra Agricultural University, Bihar, through its Registrar Having His Head Quarters at Pusa District Samastipur
2. The Vice Chancellor, Rajendra Agricultural University, Bihar, P.O. - Pusa, District - Samastipur
3. The Director of Administration, Rajendra Agricultural University, Bihar, P.O. + P.S. - Pusa, Dist. - Samastipur
4. The Registrar-Cum-Member Secretary, Grievance Redressal Committee, Rajendra Agricultural University, Bihar, P.O. + P.S. - Pusa, Dist.- Samastipur
5. The Controller and Chairman, Grievance Redressal Committee, Rajendra Agricultural University, Bihar, P.O. + P.S. - Pusa, Dist.- Samastipur
6. The Chairman, Department of Agricultural Economic, Rajendra Agricultural University, Bihar, Pusa, Samastipur
7. The Chairman-Cum-Director, Comprehensive Cost of Cultivation Scheme, Rajendra Agricultural University, Bihar, Pusa, Samastipur

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 05-07-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the respondents.

The petitioner has filed the present writ application for a direction to the respondents to absorb him on the post of Class-IV employee, as he has continuously worked for 240 days.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has worked for a considerably long time in the University. He refers to the order passed by this Court dated 29.04.2009 in



C.W.J.C. No. 5459 of 2009 and order dated 16.05.2012 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 12743 of 2011 to contend that the case of the petitioner is similar and as such the writ application may be disposed of in the similar terms.

Accordingly, the writ application is disposed of.

However, it is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the claim of the petitioner and it is up to the University to decide the claim of the petitioner in accordance with law laid down by the Apex Court in the case of **Secretary, State of Karnataka and Ors. Vs. Umadevi and Others**, reported in (2006) 4 SCC 1 and further clarified in the case of **State of Karnataka & Others Vs. M. L. Kesari & Ors.**, reported in (2010) 9 SCC 247, if not already decided, within a period of six months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Uday/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	07.07.2018
Transmission Date	

