

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17335 of 2014

-
1. Ramji Prasad @ Ramji Prajapati, aged 42 years S/o Sri Bansropan Prajapati Resident of Jamuhara, P.S. Tilauthu, District Rohtas who has been working since 1990.
 2. Siyaram Sah, aged 50 years S/o Sri Doman Sah Resident of Village Jamuhara, P.S. Tilauthu, District Rohtas who has been working since 1982.
 3. Ramsihasan Bhagat, aged 45 years S/o Sri Fekan Bhagat Resident of Village Ankupur, P.S. Chauram, District Arwal who has been working as Mali Since 2003.
 4. Shambhu Ram, aged 45 years S/o Late Ram Gulam Ram Resident of New Area, P.S. Dehri, District Rohtas who has been working since 1988.
 5. Rashid Ansari, aged 50 years S/o Late Suleman Ansari Resident of Village Jamuhara, P.S. Tilauthu, District Rohtas who has been working since 1982.
 6. Triveni Singh, aged 46 years S/o Sri Bishu Singh Resident of Indrapuri, P.S. Indrapuri, District Rohtas who has been working since 1986.
 7. Rajdeo Singh, aged 46 years S/o Late Bhikhari Singh Resident of Indrapuri, P.S. Indrapuri, District Rohtas who has been working since 1982.
 8. Raja Ram Singh, aged 56 years S/o Late Ram Sewak Singh Resident of Village Dudhami, P.S. Indrapuri, District Rohtas who has been working since 1983.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Water Resources Department, Sinchai Bhawan, Patna.
2. The Engineer in Chief, Water Resources Department, Sinchai Bhawan, Patna.
3. The Chief Engineer, Water Resources Department, Dehari, District Rohtas.
4. The Superintending Engineer, Sone Modernization Circle, Dehri, Rohtas.
5. The Executive Engineer, Sone Barrage Division, Indrapuri, Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Vishwanath Ram, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Smt. Geeta Kumari, GP28

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 12-12-2018

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

In this case, the petitioners are seeking relief of



regularization and claim that the present case is exactly identical to the case of Umesh Mandal Vs. Vs. The State of Bihar & Ors. (C.W.J.C. No. 20170 of 2016) and other analogous cases and also at par with the case of Raj Kumar Yadav Vs. The State of Bihar & Ors. (C.W.J.C. No. 6299 of 2014).

Let the authority should consider the case of the present petitioners vis-a-vis the aforesaid cases and if the fact, law and prayer made in this case are found identical to the aforesaid ceases, the present petitioners will also be given the identical benefit as has been given to the petitioners of the aforesaid cases.

With the aforesaid observation, this writ application is disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

rishi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

