

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.23100 of 2013

=====

Pappu Kumar S/o Ramautar Singh, Residento of Village- Pakri, P.S- Fatehpur,
District- Gaya.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The District Magistrate, Nawada.
3. The District Development Commissioner, Nawada.
4. The Director (Accounts Administration and Self Employment) District Rural Development Agency, Nawada.
5. The Project Officer, (DRDA, Self Employment Wing), Nawada.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Onkar Nath, Advocate.

For the Respondents : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 28-06-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondents.

2. The present writ petition has been taken up out of turn
on the request of learned counsel for the petitioner for disposal of the
same with a direction to the respondents to pay the dues of
Rs. 2,72,910/- claimed to be outstanding.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
respondents are liable to make payment of the balance amount of
Rs. 2,72,910/- for use of vehicle and for which a representation dated
28.10.2013 (Annexure-4) had been filed before the District
Development Commissioner, Nawada but of no avail.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents appears and has
been heard.



5. Having regard to the nature of the grievances of the petitioner and with consent of the parties this writ petition is disposed of, granting liberty to the petitioner to approach the District Development Commissioner, Nawada (Respondent No. 3) with a fresh representation for redressal of his grievances. If any such representation is filed within a period of two weeks from today, the same shall be considered and disposed of, ensuring payment to the extent found due to the petitioner, within a period of six weeks from the date of receiving the petitioner’s representation. Any delay in payment of the admitted amount beyond the stipulated period as stated above, shall entitle the petitioner to receive payment together with simple interest at the rate of 6% per annum on the admitted dues calculated from the date when the amount became due till the date of its actual payment. In case the petitioner’s claim is found inadmissible, whether in whole or in part, the petitioner’s representation shall be disposed of by a speaking order in that regard.

6. It is made clear that this Court is not expressing any opinion on the merits of the claim of the petitioner.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Md. Ibrarul/BT

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	03.07.2018
Transmission Date	N.A.

