

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.41 of 2016

=====

Smt. Munita Kumari @ Munita Devi W/o Rajiv Yadav Resident of village -
Gangati Bishunpur, P.S. Chakai, District - Jamui

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through Secretary, Department of Primary Education, Patna
2. Secretary, Department of Primary Education, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. Director, Integrated Child Development Scheme (I.C.D.S.), Patna
4. Deputy Director, Kalyan, Monghyr Pramandal, Monghyr
5. District Programme officer (D.P.O.) (I.C.D.S.) Monghyr
6. Child Development Programme officer (C.D.P.O.), Chakia, District - Jamui
7. Panchayat Sachiv, Gram Panchayat Chuwa, P.S. Chakai, District - Jamui
8. Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Chuwa, P.S. Chakai, District - Jamui

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :
For the Petitioner : Mr. Pramod Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Gyan Shankar, AC to GP-2

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 08-01-2018

Heard Mr. Pramod Kumar Singh, learned counsel for
the petitioner and Mr. Gyan Shankar, learned AC to GP-2.

The grievance of the petitioner is that she was
appointed as Aangan Wari Sevika for Centre No.63 in
Panchayat Chuwa, Chakia in the District of Jamui but she has
not been paid her honorarium.

A counter affidavit has been filed today on behalf of
the respondent nos.5 and 6, i.e., the District Programme
Officer and the Child Development Programme Officer and at
paragraph-6, it is stated that following the appointment, the



petitioner went for training from 9.4.2015 to 10.5.2015 and thereafter, on completion of training she has joined the post on 15.5. 2015. It is stated that the Honorarium for the period from 15.5.2015 to 29.2.2016 could not be paid because the petitioner opened her Bank Account only on 6.5.2016 but for the period subsequent thereto and until October, 2017, the same has been paid to the petitioner. Such is statement made in paragraph-8 of the counter affidavit. It is further mentioned that the answering respondent have made demand for allotment for making payment of the pending dues of the petitioner for the period 15.5.2015 to 29.2.2016.

According to Mr. Gyan Shankar, learned AC to GP-2, the competent authority to allot the fund for the purpose in the respondent no.3, the Director, I.C.D.S.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering that the major part of the grievance of the petitioner has been redressed and that she is being paid her current Honorarium, in so far the Honorarium for the period from 15.5.2015 to 29.2.2016 is concerned which remains pending for want of allotment, I direct the respondent no.3, Director, I.C.D.S. to take expeditious steps for making available the fund to the respondent nos.5 and 6 for its



payment to the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

The writ petition is allowed with the directions.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

N.H./-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
Uploading Date	10-01-2018

