

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2863 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-207 Year-2018 Thana- GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL COMP.
District- Aurangabad

=====

Uday Singh Son of Bigu Singh resident of Village- Bairia, P.O.+ P.S.
Nabinagar, District- Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary Excise Department, New Secretariat, Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Aurangabad.
3. The Officer Incharge, Navinagar P.S. District Aurangabad.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Shailesh Kumar Singh
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Vivek Prasad (Gp-7)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 20-12-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

This application has been filed seeking provisional release of the vehicle (Passion Pro motorcycle) bearing Registration No. BR26K-9841, seized in connection with Navinagar P.S. Case No. 207 of 2018 registered under Sections 30(A) and 38 of the Bihar Prohibition & Excise Act, 2016.

Accusation is that 5 liters wine is recovered from the motorcycle of the petitioner.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that no confiscation proceeding has been initiated for the vehicle in question. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that



the vehicle is lying under open sky under the Police Station and if it is allowed to remain there for any longer time, the whole motorcycle will become a junk and if release is not allowed, the State is not going to gain anyway in confiscation proceeding. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner is willing to provide such surety and undertakings which may be required to protect the interest of the State during the pendency of the confiscation. Learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the decisions of the Supreme Court in the case of *Sunderbhai Ambalal Desai vs. State of Gujrat* reported in *(2002) 10 SCC 283* and *General Insurance Council and others vs. State of Andhra Pradesh and others* reported in *(2010) 6 SCC 768* and the decision of the Hon'ble High Court, Patna passed in C.W.J.C. No.5840 of 2018 (D.B.) dated 10.12.2018.

In the given facts and circumstances where no confiscation proceeding has been initiated, let the vehicle in question be released provisionally in favour of the petitioner on producing the document of ownership and registration in his name before the concerned court below with two sureties to the extent of the value of the vehicle as indicated in the insurance document. The petitioner while submitting the sureties shall also



furnish the following affidavits/undertakings:-

(i) That the vehicle in question has never been involved in any offence of similar nature in past.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in creating any third party right or interest in respect of the vehicle during the pendency of the confiscation proceeding and shall not alienate the vehicle during this period.

(iii) The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking to produce the vehicle before the confiscating authority as and when required.

(iv) Prior to release of the vehicle a Panchnama would be prepared by the court below wherein the photograph of the vehicle shall be taken and will be certified by the petitioner and the same shall be kept on record so that in future if so required it may be used as a secondary evidence. The petitioner shall undertake not to challenge the said Panchanama in course of trial.

Subject to the above conditions, following the views expressed by Hon'ble Apex Court as well as Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court and in tune with that, I dispose of this application.

The release shall be allowed within a period of 7 days



from the date of submission of the surety bond and the undertakings as stated above. This would, however, be subject to initiation and finalization of the confiscation proceeding if any.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

Pankaj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

