

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18784 of 2008

=====

Lokesh Kumar Srivastav @ Lokesh Lal, Son of Arvind Prasad Srivastav, resident of Village and Post Office Keorhi, Police Station Kudra, District Kaimur at Bhabhua. At present Secretary Sri Ram Janaki Mandir Trust situated at Mauza Kewarhi within Kudra Police Station, in the District of Kaimur (Bhabhua).

.... Petitioner.

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
 2. District Magistrate, Kaimur at Bhabhua
 3. Superintendent of Police, Kaimur at Bhabhua
 4. Deputy Superintendent of Police, Mohaniya, District Kaimur (Bhabhua)
 5. Sub Divisional Officer, Mohaniya, District- Kaimur (Bhabhua)
 6. Circle Officer, Kudra, District- Kaimur (Bhabhua)
 7. Officer-in-Charge, Kudra Police Station, District- Kaimur (Bhabhua)
 8. Bihar State Board of Religious Trusts, through its Chairman, null Vidyapati Marg, Patna- 1.
 9. Administrator cum Officer on Special Duty, Bihar State Board of Religious Trusts, Vidyapati Marg, Patna- 1.
 10. (a) Kundan Singh,
 - 10 (b) Ranjeet Singh
- Both sons of Late Anirudh Singh, resident of village and Post Office Keorhi, P.S. Kudra, District Kaimur (Bhabhua).

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raghunandan Kumar Singh, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Kumar Pankaj, AC to SC5.
For the BSBRT : Mr Ganpati Trivedi, Sr. Advocate.
: Mr. Madan Mohan, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 10-09-2018

The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioner for a direction to the respondents to safe guard the landed property and the standing crops cultivated on the same which belong to Sri Ram Janki Mandir Trust situated at Mauza Kewarhi, within Kudra Police Station, in the district of Kaimur (Bhabhua).

2. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the



petitioner that the dispute in respect of the property has come to an end. A committee has already been constituted to look after the landed properties of the trust. The dispute stands now fully resolved.

3. Learned counsel for the State also submitted that the District Administration has taken into consideration the issue raised by the petitioner and has resolved the dispute and the landed properties of the trust is now been properly managed.

4. However, learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the Bihar State Board of Religious Trust (for short ‘Board’) submitted that the resolution of dispute has not been notified to the Board. He prayed for time in order to enable him to file counter-affidavit.

5. Since the writ petitioner does not intend to pursue the present proceeding, in the opinion of this Court, no useful purpose would be served by either inviting any counter-affidavit from the Board or keeping the matter pending before this Court.

6. It is disposed of as infructuous.

(Ashwani Kumar Singh, J)

Kanchan/Sneha

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	11.09.2018
Transmission Date	

