

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1184 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -null Year- null Thana -null District- PATNA

=====

Ramesh Thakur, S/o- Late Nathun Thakur, Resident of Village- Chakia, P.O.-
Kurkari, P.S.- Paliganj, District- Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Home Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Inspector General of Police, Patna Division, Patna.
4. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Patna Division, Patna.
5. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Patna.
6. The Rural Superintendent of Police, Patna.
7. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Danapur, District- Patna.
8. The Officer-in-Charge, Police Station Paliganj, District- Patna.
9. The Officer-in-Charge, Police Station Maner, District- Patna.
10. Raushan Thakur, S/o- Raj Kumar Thakur, Resident of Village- Chakia, P.O.-
Kurkari, P.S.- Paliganj, District- Patna.
11. Sonu Pal, S/o- Late Pappu Pal, Resident of Village- Chhitnawan, P.O.-
Daudpur, P.S.- Maner, District- Patna.
12. Ranju Devi, W/o- Late Pappu Pal, Resident of Village- Chhitnawan, P.O.-
Daudpur, P.S.- Maner, District- Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 28-08-2018

It appears from the statement made in paragraph-11 of
the counter affidavit that the victim girl in question has already been
recovered on 20.01.2017. Nobody has appeared on behalf of the
petitioner to press this writ application.

2. Taking note of the statements made in the counter
affidavit particularly that the victim girl has already been recovered,



this Court does not find any reason to keep the case pending before this Court. In case, the petitioner is of the view that the investigating officer in not concluding the investigation properly, he may file an appropriate application in the court below in the light of the judgment of the Hon’ble Supreme Court in case of **Sakiri Vasu vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others** reported in **2008(2) SCC 409**. If such an application is filed by the petitioner, the court below shall consider the same urgently keeping in mind the judgment of the Hon’ble Supreme Court.

2. This application stands disposed of.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

N.H./-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	29-08-2018
Transmission Date	29-08-2018

