

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No 132 of 2017

1. Akhilesh Kumar Singh, Son of Madhusudan Singh,
2. Ram Ayodhya Rai, Son of Manager Rai,
3. Guddi Kumari, D/o Gore Shankar Singh, All are resident of Village- Semraha, P.S.+Block- Panapur, District- Saran at Chapra.
4. Indu Kumari, D/o Nandu Rai, R/o Bijauli, P.S.- Panapur, District- Chapra.
5. Binod Kumar, S/o Yogendra Rai, R/o Belaur, P.S.- Panapur, District- Chapra.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Primary and Adult Education Department, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Director, Primary and Adult Education Department, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
3. The Divisional Commissioner, Saran Division at Chapra.
4. The District Magistrate, Saran at Chapra.
5. The District Education Officer, Saran at Chapra.
6. The Block Development Officer, Panapur, District Saran at Chapra.
7. The Block Education Officer, Panapur District- Saran at Chapra.
8. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj Belaur, P.S.+Block- Panapur, District- Saran at Chapra.
9. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj Belaur, P.S.+Block- Panapur, District- Saran at Chapra.
10. Maya Kumari, D/o Ramanand Prasad, Resident of Village- Ratanpura, P.O. +P.S.- Bhagwan Bazar, District- Saran, presently Panchayat Teacher in Primary School Bijauli, P.O.- Belaur, P.S.- Panapur, District- Saran at Chapra.
11. Pramod Kumar Singh, Son of Lalbabu Singh, Resident of Village+P.O.- Chakiya, P.S.- Panapur, District- Saran at Chapra.
12. Gita Kumari, D/o Rama Shankar Prasad, Resident of Village- Bijauli, P.O.- Belaur, P.S.- Panapur, District- Saran at Chapra.
13. Shobha Kumari, D/o Narendra Singh, Resident of Village+P.O.+P.S.- Mashraikh, District- Saran at Chapra.
14. Shamshad Ali, Son of Phool Mohammad, Resident of Khajuri, P.O.- Chakiya, P.S.- Panapur, District- Saran at Chapra.
15. Rakesh Kumar Singh, Son of Gajadhar Singh, Resident of Village- Bajitpur, P.O.- Sonho, P.S.- Bheldi, District- Saran at Chapra.
16. Shobha Devi, W/o Laxmi Ram, Resident of Village+P.O.- Belaur, P.S.- Panapur, District- Saran at Chapra.
17. Seema Kumari, D/o Ramjee Singh, Resident of Village- Semraha, P.O.- Belaur, P.S.- Panapur, District- Saran at Chapra.
18. Kumari Laxmi Kushwaha, D/o Sudama Prasad, Resident of Village+P.O.- Dhenuki, P.S.- Panapur, District- Saran at Chapra.
19. Nirbhay Kumar, Son of Late Basant Kumar Singh, Resident of Village+P.O.- Dhorlahi Kaithal, P.S.- Amnaur, District- Saran at Chapra.
20. Kaniz Fatma, D/o Afzal Hussain, Resident of Village- Deoriya, P.O.- Bahrauli, P.S.- Masharakh, District- Saran at Chapra.



21. Rambhi Kumari, D/o Baleshwar Singh, Resident of Village- Fardahiya, P.O.- Dumarsan, P.S.- Mashrakh, District- Saran at Chapra.
22. Shiv Nath Ram, Son of Dashrath Ram, Resident of Village+P.O.- Srirampur, P.S.- Parsa, District- Saran at Chapra.
23. Shivjee Singh, Son of Bindeshwari Singh, Resident of Village- Kamalpura Mathiya, P.S.- Paroo, District- Muzaffarpur.
24. Jitendra Kumar Swami, Son of Shivnath Roy, Resident of Village- Masrak Gopalwari, P.O.+P.S.- Mashrak, District- Saran at Chapra.
25. Mona Kumari, D/o Kishore Vijaynath Singh, Resident of Village+P.O.+P.S.- Mashrak, District- Saran at Chapra.
26. Narendra Prasad, Son of Rameshwar Bhagat, Resident of Village- Bakwan, P.O.- Rasauli, P.S.- Panapur, District- Saran at Chapra.
27. Binod Kumar Tiwari, S/o Nawal Kishore Tiwari, Resident of Village+P.O.- Rasauli, P.S.- Panapur, District- Saran at Chapra.
28. Raj Kapoor Rai, Son of Chandeshwar Rai, Resident of Village+P.O.- Dhorlahi Kaithal, P.S.- Amnaur, District- Saran at Chapra.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr Rakesh Ranjan, Advocate
For the S t a t e	:	Ms Shilpa Singh, GA XII With Mr Abhanjali, AC to GA XII
For private Respondents :		Mr S B K Mangalam, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

3 04-12-2018

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the order of the District Teachers Appointment Appellate Authority, Saran in Case No 80 of 2011 is challenged in the writ proceedings, for which the petitioners have adequate alternative remedy of appeal before the State Appellate Tribunal. It is submitted that the petitioners would be filing appeal in the State Appellate Tribunal.

In view of the aforesaid submission, the writ petition is dismissed with liberty to the petitioners to move the State Appellate Tribunal.



Needless to say that it will be open to the petitioners to raise the issue of pendency of this writ petition to meet the ground of delay before the State Appellate Tribunal.

The State Appellate Tribunal will obviously consider the petitioners' claim and the objections.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

M.E.H./-

U			
---	--	--	--

