

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.995 of 2016

=====

Mani Shankar @ Chhotu, Son of Late Vimlesh Prasad Singh, Resident of
Flat No.-302, Awadh Kunj Apartment P.S. Gardanibagh, District-Patna

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through The Principal Secretary, Department of Home (police), Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The principal Secretary, Department of Home (Police), Government of Bihar, Patna
3. The Director General and Inspector General of Police, Bihar, Patna
4. The Additional Director General, Headquarter, Bihar Police, Patna
5. The Additional Director General, Crime Investigation Department, Bihar Police, Patna
6. The Inspector General of Police, Patna Zone, Patna
7. The Inspector General, Crime investigation Department, Bihar, Patna
8. The Deputy Inspector General, Crime Investigation Department, Bihar, Patna
9. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Patna, Range, Patna
10. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Patna
11. The City Superintendent of Police, Madhya Patna
12. The Superintendent of Police, Crime Investigation Department, Bihar, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. P.K. Shahi, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Manish Kumar, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Md. Nadim Seraj, GP-5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

6 16-08-2018 After some argument, learned senior counsel representing the petitioner makes a prayer to withdraw this writ application.

Learned counsel for the State is present.

Considering the nature of the prayer made at this stage, the writ application is permitted to be withdrawn.



As prayed for, the petitioner, if so advised, may raise all such pleas, which may be available to him, after completion of investigation, if he is called upon to face the trial.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Arvind/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

