

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.966 of 2016

=====

Javisan Devi Wife of Sri Birender Prasad Resident of village and P.O. Madanpur, Police Station Ekanger Sarai, District - Nalanda Presently residing in the House of Bishundhari Prasad, village Mirzapur, Nohta, P.S. Fatuha, District - Patna

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna
3. The Commissioner, Patna Division, Patna
4. The Inspector General of Police, Patna Zone, Patna
5. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Patna Range, Patna
6. The Collector - Cum - District Magistrate, Patna
7. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Patna
8. Sub - Divisional Executive Magistrate, Patna City, Patna
9. Sub Divisional Police officer, Patna City, Patna
10. The Circle officer, Fathua Prakhand, Patna
11. The Thana - in - Charge, Police Station Fatuha, District - Patna

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sheo Shankar Prasad (SC-8)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

3. 14-08-2018 No one appears on behalf of the petitioner to press this writ application.

Learned counsel for the State is present and has drawn attention of this court towards the statements made in the counter affidavit. It is stated therein that the dispute raised by the petitioner is virtually a kind of dispute in



respect of title and possession over a piece of land and is a purely civil dispute. It is also reported that earlier 144 Cr.P.C. proceeding was initiated by the Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Patnacity, Patna. Taking note of the aforementioned facts and as no one appears on behalf of the petitioner, this writ application is dismissed.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Rajeev/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

