

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16622 of 2011

=====

Narayan Yadav S/O Late Bankai Yadav Resident Of Village-Krishna Nagar
Bishawpuria, P.O.-Kalu Chak, P.S.-Kharik, District-Bhagalpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The District Magistrate, Bhagalpur, District-Bhagalpur.
3. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Naugachhia, District-Bhagalpur.
4. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Bhagalpur, District-Bhagalpur.
5. The Block Development Officer, Kharik, District-Bhagalpur.
6. The Programme Officer, Kharik, District-Bhagalpur.
7. The Marketing Officer, Kharik, District-Bhagalpur.
8. The Prakhanda Supply Officer, Kharik, District-Bhagalpur.
9. Mukhiya of Gram Panchayat Bhawanipur Kharik, District-Bhagalpur.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. ASHOK KUMAR YADAV, ADV

For the Respondent/s : None

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 10-10-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for quashing the letter dated 30.06.2011 issued by the Deputy Development Commissioner, Bhagalpur, District Bhagalpur (Respondent No. 4) and letter dated 20.06.2009 issued by the Programme Officer, Kharik, District Bhagalpur (Respondent No. 6) by which the petitioner has been directed to deposit rest amount of undistributed food grains lifted under the SGRY Scheme.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to the orders of this Court, the Enquiry Commission headed by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Uday Sinha (Retired) has now submitted its report and any further action in relation to recovery of the value of undistributed rice



under the SGRY Scheme would abide by the decision of the State Government to be taken on the basis of such report.

4. None appears on behalf of the respondents, when the matter is called.

5 In the above view of the matter, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to approach this Court afresh as and when the occasion arises in future.

6. Having regard to the stand of the petitioner, the writ petition stands disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

7. Let the authorities refrain from taking coercive measures against the petitioner until decision is taken by the State Government, as aforesaid.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	12.10.2018
Transmission Date	NA

