

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1383 of 2018**

Kamal Kishor Sharma, Son of Bhagwat Sharma, Resident of Village-Harpura, P.S.-Vikram, District-Patna, Presently Posted as an Assistant Teacher, (Science) in Sang Darshan Sanskrit High School Bhoari, P.S.-Tekari, District-Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department Government, of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Special Director Secondary Education Bihar, Patna.
3. The Chairman, Bihar Sanskrit Education Board, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Secretary, Bihar Sanskrit Education Bihar, Patna.
5. The District Education Officer, Gaya.
6. The District Programme Officer, (Establishment) Gaya.
7. The Managing Committee of Sang Darshan Sanskrit High School, Bhoari through its Secretary.
8. The Head Master, Sang Darshan Sanskrit High School Bhoari, Tekari Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                              |
|----------------------|---|------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Binod Kumar, Advocate    |
| For the Respondent/s | : | Mr. Hitesh Suman, AC to SC13 |
| For the Board        | : | Mr. S.S.Sundaran, Advocate   |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      31-01-2018              Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already approached the respondent No. 4 by filing representation for redressal of his grievance but no decision was taken on the representation of respondent No. 4 and as such submits that the writ petition may be disposed of with direction to the respondents to take final decision on the representation as contained in Annexure-9 series.



Without going to the merit of the claim of the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent-Secretary, Bihar Sanskrit Education Board to take final decision within a maximum period of two months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order and if it is found that the petitioner is entitled to the benefit, he shall ensure payment of such benefit by requesting the State Government for allocation of appropriate fund and the State is required to grant such fund for payment of salary within a further period of two months from the date of receipt of such request of the Board.

It is made clear that this court has not expressed any opinion on the merit of the claim of the petitioner

**(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)**

spandey/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

