

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6714 of 2018

=====

Syed Arif Quli, Advocate, S/o Late Syed Mohammad Zafr Quli, resident of
Nawab Kothi, Naya Tola, Bari Path, P.S. Kadamkuan, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Bar Council Of India, Through Its Secretary, Bar Council of India, 21, Rause Avenue, Institutional Area, New Delhi-1
2. Chairman, Bar Council of India, 21, Rause Avenue, Institutional Area, New Delhi-1
3. Bihar State Bar Council, through its Secretary, Bihar, Patna.
4. Magadh University through its Registrar, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya, Gaya
5. Vice-Chancellor, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya, Gaya
6. Bihar Society of Law through its Secretary, Ashiana More, Raza Bazar, Patna- 14
7. Principal, Bihar Institute of Law, Ashiana More, Raja Bazar, Patna-14
8. Patna Municipal Corporation through Municipal Commissioner, MauryaLok, Patna
9. State Fire Officer, Budha Marg, Patna
10. The State of Bihar through Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Mukesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Lalit Kishore-AG
For P.M.C.		Mr. Prasoon Sinha, Advocate
For Respondent No.10		Mr. Bishwa Bibhuti Pd. Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 19-04-2018

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, the issue in question raised in the Public Interest Litigation is with regard to certain irregularities in the matter of conducting of a course by Bihar Institute of Law, Raza Bazar, Patna, respondent No.7.



It is seen that a report was submitted to the Bar Council of India, the statutory authority, to take note of the grievance of the petitioner and as the representation of the petitioner has not been addressed by the Bar Council of India, for the present, without entering into the controversy on merit and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the claim made by the petitioner, it is directed that on the petitioner filing a certified copy of this order, respondent Nos.1 and 2 shall look into the grievance/representation of the petitioner, evaluate the same in the backdrop of statutory requirement, hear all concerned and thereafter pass an appropriate order, preferably within a period of sixty days from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

With the aforesaid, this application is disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

K.C.Jha/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	30.04.2018
Transmission Date	

