

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2002 of 2016

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 16988 of 2009

=====

Dr. Choudhry Mahmood Alam son of Late Ch. Ghulam Mohammad,
resident of Professor Colony, Mohalla - Bhigo, P.S. - Laheria Sarai, District
- Darbhanga.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. Lalit Narayan Mithila University, Darbhanga through its Registrar.
2. Dr. Saket Kushwaha, at present the Vice Chancellor of Lalit Narayan Mithila University, Kameshwarnagar, Darbhanga.
3. Dr. Ajit Kumar Singh, at present the Registrar, Lalit Narayan Mithila University Kameshwarnagar, Darbhanga.
4. Rakesh Kumar Mehta, the Finance Advisor, Lalit Narayan Mithila University, Kameshwarnagar, Darbhanga.
5. The State of Bihar, through its Chief Secretary, Old Secretariat, Patna.
6. Mr. Anjani Kumar Singh the Chief Secretary, State of Bihar, Old Secretariat.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Chandan Jha, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Roy Shivaji Nath

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

6 06-09-2018

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

A supplementary show cause has been filed today on behalf of the Registrar, L.N. Mithila University. From the Annexure-‘B’ to the said show cause it appears that the petitioner has been paid a sum of Rs. 2,91,724/- towards the amount due to him as arrear of pension for the period 1st December, 1998 till 31st March, 2013 with interest @ 6% per annum. However, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the calculation shown in the Annexure-‘C’ to the supplementary show cause, will be required to be verified from the petitioner.



At this stage, learned counsel representing the University submits that in case the petitioner finds that some amount shall remain due in terms of the order of the learned writ court and it is required to be paid by the University, he may represent the same to the University giving his own calculation and the same will be considered by the Registrar and Finance Officer of the University within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of the representation. Learned counsel further submits that anything found due to the petitioner over and above the amount which has been paid to him, shall also be paid within next three weeks after considering the representation.

In view of the stand taken on behalf of the University, learned counsel for the petitioner agrees for purpose of the disposal of the application.

Let the application be disposed of in terms stated herein above. For the claim not found payable a reasoned order shall be communicated to the petitioner. In case the petitioner finds that the statement made on behalf of the University has not been taken care of by the Registrar or Finance Officer, the same will be brought to the notice of this Court.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Ved/-

U			
---	--	--	--

