

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9222 of 2016

=====

Indu Kumari wife of Sri Satya Narayan Singh @ Satyendra Narayan Singh
resident of West Boring Canal Road, Sumitra Sadan, P.S.-S.K.Puri, District-
Patna, at present residing at Mohni Gali, Sheikhpura, District - Patna

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Commissioner-Cum- Secretary, Urban
Development, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Bailey Road, Patna
2. The Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. The Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department, Government
of Bihar, Patna
4. The Commissioner, Patna Division, Patna
5. The District Magistrate, Patna
6. The Chief Administrator, Patna Municipal Corporation, Maurya Lok
Complex, P.S. Kotwali, District - Patna
7. The Executive officer, Patna Municipal Corporation, Maurya Lok
Complex, P.S. -Kotwali, District - Patna
8. The Municipal Commissioner, Patna
9. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Patna
10. The Sub Divisional Officer, Patna Sadar, District- Patna
11. The Circle Officer, Sadar Circle, Patna
12. Tarun Kumar S/o Late Jagdish Prasad, M/s Surya Nest Build Ltd.
(Builder), Boring Canal Road, Patna, resident at Nutan Vihar,
Kankarbagh, District- Patna
13. M/s S.R.M. Builders S/o Bengali Singh, West of Hera - Panna Jewelers,
Boring Road, Patna, resident of Sumitra Sadan, West Boring Canal
Road, P.S.-Sri Krishnapuri, District- Patna

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shahabuddin Azim, Advocate
: Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate
For the P.M.C. : Mr. Prasoon Sinha, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Ajay, AC to GP-4
For Respondent No.12 : Mr. Ravi Bhardwaj, Advocate

=====



CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 08-05-2018 Heard Mr. Shahabuddin Azeem, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Prasoon Sinha, learned counsel for the Patna Municipal Corporation, Mr. Ajay, learned AC to G.P.-4 and Mr. Ravi Bhardwaj, learned counsel for respondent no.12.

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that this writ petition was filed in view of the fact that at the relevant time the Municipal Building Tribunal was not functional. Since the petitioner has already filed an appeal before the Municipal Building Tribunal vide Appeal No.48(N)/2015 which is pending before it and now the Tribunal has also become functional, the writ petition may be disposed of.

In view of the submissions made above, the writ petition is disposed of.

The petitioner shall be at liberty to pursue his remedy before the Tribunal.

(Ashwani Kumar Singh, J)

Md.S./-

U			
---	--	--	--

