

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3786 of 2017

1. Dr. Jayant Ajat Shatru, Son of Late Ajat Shatru, Resident of G/2, P.C. Colony, P.S.-Kankarbagh, Patna-20
2. Abhishek Kumar, Son of Arjun Prasad Yadav, Resident of Panchsil Nagar, P.S.-Pandra, District-Ranchi, Jharkhand-834005
3. Umesh Prasad, Son of Late Dwarika Prasad, Resident of Village-Shivchak, P.O.-Fatuha, P.S.-Fatuha District-Patna
4. Sanjeev Ranjan Satsangi, Son of Saheb Prasad Mehta, Resident of Sant Sadan, Machhli Gali, New Area Jakkanpur, P.S.-Jakkanpur, District-Patna
5. Vijay Kishore, Son of Yogendra Prasad Singh, Resident of Sherpur Chisti, P.O.-Jadhua, P.S.-Ganga Bridge, District-vaishali(Bihar).
6. Kumar Ranjan Parmar, Son of Dr. Lal Baboo Singh, Resident of At + P.O.-Sheikhpur, P.S. Ahiyapur, District-Muzafarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Science and Technology Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Deputy Secretary, Science and Technology Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. The Director, Science and Technology ment, Government of Bihar, Patna.Depart
4. The Principal Secretary, General Administration Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
5. The Principal, Muzaffarpur Institute of Technology, Muzaffarpur
6. The Principal, Bhagalpur College of Engineering, Bhagalpur

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ajay -Ga5

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY



ORAL ORDER

3 27-08-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

Today, the case is listed under the heading for orders. Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that the respondents are contemplating regularizing the services of contractual employees in terms of the policy decision.

Mr. Ramakant Sharma, learned senior counsel submits that since the respondents have taken policy decision, it would be appropriate that the respondents may consider the case of the petitioners also in light of the policy decision of the State Government.

Mr. Ajay, learned counsel for the State submits that if the case of the petitioner is covered under the policy decision, the respondents will definitely consider the case of the petitioners in accordance with law within the parameters of the policy decision taken by the State Government.

In view of the above the writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file exhaustive representation addressed to the Principal Secretary of the Science and Technology Department. The Principal Secretary of the Science and Technology department will take appropriate decision in accordance with law on the representation proposed to be filed



along with copy of this order by the petitioners. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the claim of the petitioner. The principal Secretary of the department shall consider the case of the petitioners in the light of the policy decision of the State government.

With the aforesaid, the writ application stands disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

abhijeet/-T.Kr.

U			
---	--	--	--

