

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8826 of 2016

-
1. Anil Kumar Thakur S/o Late Bhagirath Thakur R/o Village and P.O. Kharana Dih, P.S. Kudhani, District - Muzaffarpur, Presently Working as Peon, Electronics Department, L.S. College, Muzaffarpur
 2. Jagannath Bhagat S/o Late Ram Swaroop Bhagat R/o village - Aga Nagar, P.O. Purshottampur, P.S. Maniyari, District - Muzaffarpur Presently Working as Peon in Physics Department, L.S. College, Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Human Resources Development, Bihar, Patna
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Human Resources Development, Bihar, Patna
3. The Secretary, Higher Education, Department of Human Resource Development, Bihar, Patna
4. B.R.A. Bihar University Muzaffarpur through its Registrar
5. The Vice - Chancellor, B.R.A. Bihar University Muzaffarpur
6. The Registrar, B.R.A. Bihar University Muzaffarpur
7. The Principal, B.R.A. Bihar University Muzaffarpur
8. Pramod Prasad S/o Late Muneshwar Mahto @ Munilal Mistri, Presently working as Peon, Department of Philosophy, L.S. College, Muzaffarpur

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar Manoj, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Shyam Kishore Sharma GA-1

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 02-05-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that juniors to the petitioner have been considered for regularization but the petitioner has been treated differently and discriminated by the respondents with regard to regularization of services. He submits that the petitioner is working for the last three decades and as such,



his case requires consideration by the respondents in view of the fact that persons appointed subsequent to the petitioner have been regularized by the University.

Without expressing any opinion on the merit of the claim of the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to examine the record and if it is found that the petitioner is regularly working and persons appointed after the petitioner have been considered, the case of the petitioner may also be considered sympathetically for regularisation.

With the aforesaid, the writ application stands disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Ravi/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	30.05.2018
Transmission Date	

