

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.2563 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -152 Year- 2017 Thana -SONO District- JAMUI

=====

1. Rajendra Yadav, S/o Dhanu Yadav, R/o Village-Bishanpur, P.S.-
Charkapathar, District- Jamui.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prakash Mahto, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pramod Kumar Pandey, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

6/ 12-04-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

APP for the State.

Petitioner apprehends his arrest in **Sono**
(Charkapathar) P.S. Case No.152 of 2017 instituted for the
offence under Section(s) 364/34 Indian Penal Code.

It is alleged in the written that son of the informant
had gone for coaching and he was kidnapped by this petitioner
and other accused persons.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that victim
has been recovered on the same day. He has given statement
under Section(s) 164 Cr. P. C., wherein, he has stated specifically
that one Shyam Sundar Yadav told him to drink coconut water
and took him on the bike. It is alleged that this petitioner and
other accused were also present there.



In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer of the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within six weeks from today in connection with **Sono (Charkapathar) P.S. Case No.152 of 2017**, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the **Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, 1st, Jamui**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C. with further conditions: (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be liable to cancel his bail bond and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

JA/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

