

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 164 of 2017

=====

Jainandan Prasad Singh, S/o Late Hari Singh, Resident of Village- Gagan, P.S.- Akbarpur, District- Nawada, presently residing at Panitanki Road, Jhumari Tilaiya, P.S.- Jhumri Tilaiya, District Kodarma (Jharkhand).

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Multi State Co-operative Land Development Bank Ltd. Bihar & Jharkhand, Budha Marg, Patna-1.
2. The Chairman, Multi State Co-operative Land Development Bank Ltd. Budha Marg, Patna-1.
3. The Managing Director, Multi State Co-operative Land Development Bank Ltd., Budha Marg, Patna- 1.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 12-01-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and respondents.

2. The writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief:

“That the present writ application is for issuance of an appropriate writ/writs, direction/directions directing and commanding the respondents concerned to pay the entire due retriial benefits of the petitioner including the due salary, differences of salary, monetary benefits of 1st. time bound promotion, gratuity, Leave encashment etc. with interest till the date of payment”.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has drawn the attention of the Court to order dated 30.11.2017 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 11779 of 2016 in the case of **Nag Narayan Singh vs. The**



Managing Director Multi State Co-operative Land Development Bank & Anr. It was submitted that the writ petition be disposed off in similar terms.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents does not object.

5. In view thereof, the writ petition stands disposed off in terms of the aforesaid order dated 30.11.2017 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 11779 of 2016.

6. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that even as per the own scheme of the respondents, payments have not been made to the petitioner. If the same is correct, the petitioner shall be at liberty to file a detailed representation showing his entitlement with respect to the dates on which they became due and submit it to the Managing Director. If the same is done within four weeks, it shall be looked into and if it is found that the grievance of the petitioner is genuine, necessary order shall be passed redressing the same in accordance with law and scheme followed by the respondents and if the same is not found tenable, an order giving reasons shall be passed preferably within two months from the date of filing of the representation.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J.)

P. Kumar

AFR/NAFR	
U	

