

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.21465 of 2018

- =====
1. Ramakant Singh, Son of Shiv Sharan Singh, Resident of Village – Erka, P.S.- Kutumba, District – Aurangabad.
 2. Vijay Kumar Singh, Son of Jagdish Singh, Resident of Village – Erka, P.S.- Kutumba, District – Aurangabad.
 3. Manoj Kumar Pandey, Son of Awadesh Pandey, Resident of Village – Erka, P.S.- Kutumba, District – Aurangabad.
 4. Shantan Ram, Son of Late Munga Ram, Resident of Village – Erka, P.S.- Kutumba, District – Aurangabad.
 5. Sadhu Ram, Son of Tapeswar Ram, Resident of Village – Erka, P.S. – Kutumba, District – Aurangabad.
 6. Garai Ram, Son of Ganesh Ram, Resident of Village – Amba Colony, P.S.- Amba, District – Aurangabad.
 7. Manik Chandra Paswan, Son of Sri Mohan Paswan, Resident of Village – Sonbarsa, P.S. Kutumba, District – Aurangabad.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through The Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Water Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Aurangabad.
4. The Chief Engineer, Water Resources Department, Aurangabad.
5. The Superintendent Engineer, Irrigation Department, Aurangabad.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Santosh Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vikash Kumar -SC11
Mr. Akash Chatruvedi, AC to SC 11

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 06-11-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the facts of the present case are identical to the case of C.W.J.C. No. 18376 of 2015 (Ghura Paswan & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar & Ors.) and C.W.J.C. No.19348 of 2015 (Purshotam Dwivedi & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar



& Ors.), the aforesaid judgment and orders squarely cover the issue involved in the present case.

In such view of the matter, the concerned authority is directed to examine the facts, law and prayer of the case of the petitioner and if it is found that present case is squarely covered by the aforesaid cases, the same benefit should also be extended to the petitioner.

The whole process should be completed within a period of three months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

With the aforesaid observations and directions, this writ petition is disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Vinay/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	17.11.2018
Transmission Date	NA

