

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20317 of 2016

=====

Sanjay Kumar Sah, Son of Sri Sukhdeo Prasad Sah, resident of Mohalla -
Rajendra Nagar, Gulab bagh, P.S. Sadar, Distict - Purnea

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Agriculture Production Commissioner,
Government of Bihar, Panth Bhawan, Patna
2. The Deputy Director, Agriculture Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. The Sub - Divisional Officer Cum Special Officer, Agriculture Marketing
Committee, (Dissolved), Gulab Bagh, Purnea

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vijay Anand, Adv

For the Respondent/s : Mr. DHURJATI KUMAR PRASAD-GP14

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 09-01-2018

The present writ petition has been filed for quashing the order dated 05.04.2013 passed by the Deputy Secretary, Department of Agriculture, Government of Bihar, Patna (Respondent No. 2) whereby and whereunder the State Government has refused to take any decision on the individual proposal for installation of computerized weigh bridge till the final policy of the State Government regarding campus of market yard of Agriculture Produce Market Committee is finalized.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it will suffice if the petitioner's representation dated



09.02.2016 (Annexure-24) filed before the Agriculture Production Commissioner, Government of Bihar, Pant Bhawan, Patna (Respondent No. 1) is directed to be disposed of, in which event, the present writ petition need not be pressed on merits.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents appears and has been heard.

4. Having regard to the nature of the grievances and the stand of the petitioner, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Agriculture Production Commissioner, Government of Bihar, Pant Bhawan, Patna (Respondent No. 1) to consider and dispose of the petitioner's representation dated 09.02.2016 (Annexure-24), if the same is pending before him, after grant of opportunity of hearing to the petitioner in accordance with law expeditiously and preferably within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this judgment.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran/BT

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	11.01.2018
Transmission Date	N.A.

