

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**

**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11532 of 2014**

=====

Meera Devi wife of Sri Krishna Pandit, Resident of Barap P.O.- Bagwa, P.S.-  
Garhani, District- Bhojpur

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. Deputy Director, Welfare, Patna Division, Patna
3. The Commissioner, Patna Division, Patna
4. The District Magistrate, Bhojpur, Arrah
5. The District Programme Officer, Arrah
6. The C.D.P.O. Garhani, Bhojpur, Arrah

.... .... Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kumar Rajeev, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vijay Laxmi Srivastava, AC to SC 23

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD**

**ORAL JUDGMENT**

**Date:** 04-01-2018

Heard counsel for the petitioner and the State.

2. Pursuant to an inspection conducted on 04.11.2011, the District Programme Officer, under memo dated 08.11.2011 called for a show cause from the petitioner who was posted as Angan Bari Sevika in Centre no. 66, Barap East, Garhani, Bhojpur at Ara.

3. The allegations were in relation to violation of dress code and regarding the number of children absent from the centre and non-distribution of posahar to the beneficiaries as also of giving false name of the persons in the register as beneficiary who did not exist. The petitioner had responded by Annexure 3 of the writ petition.



Perusal of the same shows that substantially, the charges have been accepted by her but she has tried to explain the lapses on account of haste and other local reasons/influence, which are legally untenable. As such on the aforesaid irregularity found at the centre in the inspection, the District Programme Officer vide order dated 24.11.2011 has removed the petitioner as Angan Bari Sevika.

4. Petitioner's appeal was also heard by the Deputy Director Welfare who after considering the reply of the petitioner has rejected the appeal by a detailed considered and speaking order vide order dated 28.04.2014.

5. The order also does not suffer from any procedural infirmity.

6. There is no scope for interference with the order impugned in the instant writ petition.

7. The writ petition is therefore, dismissed.

**(Madhuresh Prasad, J)**

Prakash/-

|                   |            |
|-------------------|------------|
| AFR/NAFR          |            |
| CAV DATE          |            |
| Uploading Date    | 09.01.2018 |
| Transmission Date |            |

