

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16420 of 2015

=====

Kameshwar Sahu S/o late Hari Narayan Sahu resident of Village- Baradiha ,
P.s Karakat ,District Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, New
Secretariat Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. District Magistrate, Rohtas Sasaram.
4. District Programme officer (Establishment) Rohtas Sasaram.
5. District Education Officer Rohtas Sasaram.
6. Block Development Officer, Karakat Rohtas.
7. Block Education Officer Karakat Rohtas.
8. Punam Kumari wife of Arbind Kumar Dubey resident of Village- Baradiha ,
P.s Karakat ,District Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rang Nath Choubey, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. AG

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 06-12-2018

Without entering into the controversy whether the appointment of respondent no.8 is legal and valid and whether the petitioner is justified in seeking guideline from the superior authorities of the Education Department in the matter of payment of salary, the writ application is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach the Director, Primary Education, who shall be under obligation to issue specific guideline to the petitioner with regard to continuance of service of respondent no.8. Necessary guideline must be issued by the respondent Director, Primary Education within a period of one month from the date of



filing of representation along with a copy of this order, failing which the petitioner shall not be fastened with any accountability in future, if anything wrong is noticed in the matter of payment of salary to respondent no.8.

With the aforesaid, the writ application stands disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

uday/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	07.12.2018
Transmission Date	

