

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2718 of 2015

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 4930 of 2010

- =====
1. Nidhi Kumari daughter of late Chandra Bhusan Singh(Married), W/o Satya Prakash Singh at Present residing at R.P. Tower, Dak Bungalow Road, Patna.All (i) to (iii) at Present residing at Mahatma Gandhi Nagar, Kanti factory Road Kankarbagh, District- Patna.
 2. Pritam Kumar Son of late Chandra Bhusan Singh
 3. Gautam Kumar Singh Son of late Chandra Bhusan Singh
 4. Uttam Kumar Son of late Chandra Bhusan Singh

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through Mr. Ravi Mittal Working As The Secretary Ministry of Finance Govt. of Bihar at Old Secretariat, Patna
2. The Anugraha Narayan Sinha Institute of Social Studies, Patna, North of Gandhi Maidan, Patna through its Register Srimati Prasmita Mohanti North of Gandhi Maidan, Patna
3. Srimati Prasmita Mohanti Wife of not known to the petitioner AT present working as the Registrar the Anugraha Narayan Sinha Institute of Social Studies Patna north of Gandhi Maidan, Patna
4. Sri A.K Jha Son of name not known to the petitioner At present working as the Head of the Department, Political Science, the Anugraha Narayan Sinha Institute of Social Studies Patna north of Gandhi Maidan, Patna
5. Sri R.K. Mahajan Son of not known to the petitioner at present working as the Principal Secretary, Higher Education Department, Bihar, Patna
6. Dr. S.N.M. Kopparty, Director, Indian Council of Social Science Research, Aruna Asaf Ali Marg, New Delhi

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kripa Nand Jha
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sc16 Abbas Haidaer

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

15 30-07-2018

The learned counsel for the State has filed a show cause today stating therein that in compliance of the earlier order of this Court dated 02.07.2018, the calculation has been re-worked and it has been found that a further sum of Rs. 66,734/- is required to be paid to the petitioners and infact, the same has also been paid



to the petitioners. It is further submitted that according to the information received from the institute, it appears that the petitioners have already been paid all the post retrial claims apart from the pension.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that there may be some arrears to be paid specially in view of the fact that the matter is pending before the concerned authority, however the learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the present petition be disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to file a representation before the authorities bringing to the notice of the said authorities about the payments to be made.

In view of the aforesaid, the present contempt petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to approach the concerned authority, in case any outstanding amount is found payable subsequently and the authorities would consider the same within a limited period.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

rinkee/-

U			
---	--	--	--

