

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2841 of 2015

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 6384 of 2014

=====

Rafat Nadeem Son of Late Amanullah Resident of Village -Premjeevan, P.S. -
Bahadurpur, District - Darbhanga.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Mr. Ashok Kumar Sinha Son of not known to the petitioner the Chief Secretary,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Mr. Vyasjee Son of not known to the petitioner Principal Secretary, Land
Reforms Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Mr. Sanjay Agrawal Son of not known to the petitioner the Settlement Officer,
Gaya District - Gaya.
5. Mr. Rajiv Guha The Chief Secretary, Government of Jharkhand at Ranchi.
6. Mr. Kamal Kishore Son of not known to the petitioner The Commissioner cum
Secretary Land Reforms Department Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi.
7. Mr. Mayukh Son of not known to the petitioner The Deputy Secretary, Land
Reforms Department, Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi.
8. Mr. Gundav Uraon Son of not known to the petitioner The Settlement Officer,
Dumka, District - Dumka.
9. Mr. S.K. Singh Son of not known to the petitioner The General Manager,
Indian Oil Corporation Pipe Lines Division, Barauni.
10. Mr. Sanjay Kumar Son of not known to the petitioner The Accountant General,
Bihar, Patna.

.... Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Bhubneshwar Prasad, Advocate
For Respondent No.8	:	Mr. K.N. Gupta, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. GA-08 Mr. Sanjay Kumar Giri, GP-09



For State of Jharkhand : Mr. Satvrat Verma, Advocate
For the A.G. : Mr. Kumar Priya Ranjan, Advocate
Mr. Niraj Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 19-01-2018

From the counter affidavit filed by the respondents, it is seen that the claim of the petitioner has been settled. However, today a complaint is made that the amount of Rs.1,43,352/- towards leave encashment has not been paid.

Learned counsel appearing for the Indian Oil Corporation invites my attention to the averments made in the show cause filed and points out that the same was forwarded by the I.O.C. to the authorities in the State of Jharkhand and from the show cause filed by respondent Nos.5 and 6, it is seen that vide communication dated 4.9.2015, Annexure A, the demand draft received from the I.O.C. towards payment of leave encashment has already been forwarded to the petitioner.

This being the supplementary show cause filed on 15.1.2016, without there being a rebuttal by the petitioner till date, it seems that the order stands complied with and no further action is required to be taken in the matter.

In case the petitioner has any grievance still subsisting, with respect to the compliance made, he may challenge the same



afresh in accordance with law in an appropriate proceeding.

With the aforesaid, this application is disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

K.C.jha/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	24-01-2018
Transmission Date	

