

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3941 of 2016

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 8437 of 2008

=====

Naresh Prasad Singh @ Naresh Singh Son of Late Nathun Singh @ Mahavir Singh Resident of Village- Ashopur, P.S.- Danapur, District- Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Sri Sanjay Kumar Agarwal, Collector-cum-District Magistrate, Patna.
2. Sri Binda Lal, Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Danapur, Patna.
3. Smt. Bashanti Devi, wife of Gopal Prasad Singh, Resident of village- Murlichak, P.S.-Gardanibagh (now Aerodrome, Patna.), Post Office-B.V. College, District-Patna.
4. Sri Madan Mohan Sinha then Circle Officer, Phulwarisharif District Patna.
5. Kumar Kundan Lal then Circle Officer, Phulwarisharif, District- Patna.
6. Ram Pravesh Ram Present Circle Officer, Phulwarisharif, District- Patna.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajeev Kumar Sinha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Khurshid Alam AAG-12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

3 07-09-2018 This contempt application is totally misconceived inasmuch as it is apparent that the learned Writ Court, while considering the orders, as contained in Annexure-1 and 2 to the writ application, did not stay the orders rather the Court took a view that those Annexures as also the issue of rent receipts in compliance there of shall be subject to the result of the Title Suit.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this order means that no further receipts shall be issued during the



pendency of the title suit.

This Court is unable to subscribe such views. There is no such order in the Writ Court’s order, hence, the contempt application is liable to be dismissed. The same is dismissed.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Arvind/-

U			
---	--	--	--

