

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11947 of 2018

=====

1. Narendra Prasad, Son of Late Hira Prasad, Resident of Village and P.O.-
Kateshar, P.S.- Bihta, District- Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Food and Consumer Protection Department, Old Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Collector and District Magistrate, Patna, District- Patna.
3. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Danapur, District- Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajeev Kumar Labh, Adv

For the Respondent/s : Mr. S.RAZA AHMAD -AAG5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 03-07-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel
for the respondents.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for quashing the
order contained in memo no. 1141 dated 18.11.2014 passed by the Sub-
Divisional Officer, Danapur, District Patna and the appellate order dated
26.04.2018 passed by the Collector-cum-District Magistrate, Patna in E.C.
Appeal No. 72/2014-15 by which licence of the petitioner's Fair Price
shop bearing no. 02/2007 has been cancelled and monthly allotment has
been stopped; and further to restore the petitioner's licence.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner makes a short submission
to assail the impugned order on the ground that a copy of the enquiry
report was not made available to the petitioner and he was never
confronted with the same with an opportunity of being heard or adducing
evidence in that regard. A specific stand has been taken in para 13 of the
writ petition that the impugned order of cancellation of licence has been



passed without providing a copy of the enquiry report to the petitioner, though the same had been relied upon in the impugned order.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents appears and has been heard.

5. In the above view of the matter, this Court is satisfied that non-supply of the enquiry report to the petitioner has resulted in violation of natural justice and thus the decision making process stands vitiated. The impugned order dated 18.11.2014 (Annexure-1) and the appellate order dated 26.04.2018 (Annexure-4) are hereby quashed and the matter remanded to the Sub-Divisional Officer, Danapur, District Patna for taking decision afresh in the matter after supplying a copy of the enquiry report to the petitioner and granting an opportunity of hearing in accordance with law. Supplies to the petitioner shall be restored without delay until fresh orders are passed by the respondent no. 3.

6. It is made clear that in case the stand of the petitioner denying receipt of the enquiry report prior to order of cancellation being passed is found to be incorrect, the respondents shall be at liberty to approach this Court for recall of this judgment.

7. The writ petition stands allowed as above.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran/BT

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	05.07.2018
Transmission Date	NA

