

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10512 of 2018

=====

Dinanath Ram son of Hira Ram, resident of village - Bartiyar, P.S. Sandesh,
District - Bhojpur.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The District Magistrate, Bhojpur, Ara.
3. The Sub Divisional Officer, Sadar Ara, Bhojpur.
4. The Block Supply Officer, Sandesh Bhojpur, Ara.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Mishra, Advocate.
For the Respondents : Mr. S. RAZA Ahmad, AAG-5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 25-06-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondents.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for quashing
the order contained in Memo No. 1196 dated 15.05.2018 by which the
license no. 07/2007 of the petitioner has been cancelled.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner makes a short
submission to assail the impugned order on the ground that a copy of
the inspection report was not made available to the petitioner and he
was never confronted with the same with an opportunity of being
heard or adducing evidence in that regard. A specific stand has been
taken in paragraph-7 of the writ petition that the impugned order of
cancellation of licence has been passed without providing a copy of the
inspection report to the petitioner, though the same has been relied
upon in the impugned order.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents appears and has



been heard. The stand of the petitioner with regard to non-supply of inspection report has not been controverted, as no counter affidavit has been filed till date.

5. In the above view of the matter, this Court is satisfied that non-supply of the inspection report to the petitioner has resulted in violation of natural justice and thus the decision making process stands vitiated. The impugned order dated 15.05.2018 (Annexure-3) is hereby quashed and the matter remanded to the Sub-Divisional Officer, Sadar Ara, Bhojpur for taking decision afresh in the matter after supplying a copy of the enquiry report to the petitioner and granting an opportunity of hearing in accordance with law. Supplies to the petitioner shall be restored without delay until fresh orders are passed by the respondent no. 3.

6. It is made clear that in case the stand of the petitioner denying receipt of the inspection report prior to order of cancellation being passed is found to be incorrect, the respondents shall be at liberty to approach this Court for recall of this judgment.

7. The writ petition stands allowed as above.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Md. Ibrarul/BT

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	28.06.2018
Transmission Date	N.A.

