

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1151 of 2016

=====

Mohan Paswan Son of Jogeshwar Paswan, Resident of Village-
Maksudpur Taj, P.O. Neelkantpur, Police Station- Mahua, District-
Vaishali.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Tirhut Range, Muzaaffarpur.
3. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Hajipur, District- Vaishali.
4. The Superintendent of Police, Vaishali at Hajipur.
5. The Station House Officer- Cum- Inspector, Mahua, Police Station at P.S. Mahua, District- Vaishali.
6. Naresh Paswan Son of Kamal Paswan, resident of Maksudpur Taj, P.S. Mahua, District- Vaishali.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rakesh Kumar Soni, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Shailesh Kumar, AC to GP 5.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 23-08-2018 The petitioner is aggrieved because according to him the name of one of the accused whom he calls the main accused was not recorded in the First Information Report and the charge-sheet does not contain his name. Admittedly, after completion of the investigation police has submitted a charge-sheet in this case.

In the opinion of this Court, if the petitioner is aggrieved by the investigation and is looking for further investigation, his remedy lies under the provisions of Code of Criminal Procedure before the regular Court where the entire materials may be considered and the learned Court below may pass an appropriate



order. The petitioner may avail his remedy, accordingly.

The application stands disposed of with the aforesaid liberty to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the State is present.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Arvind/R.R.Ojha

U		T	
---	--	---	--

