

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.46669 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -81 Year- 2018 Thana -BIKRAM District- PATNA

=====

1. Raghubar Verma, S/o Kauleshwar Verma,
2. Uday Kumar S/o Rajeshwar Verma @ Rajeshwar Mahto, Both Residents
of Vill.- Khoraita, P.S.- Bikram, District- Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mahendra Prasad Bhartee

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri S.M. Rahman

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

3 14-08-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as the

State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in Bikram P.S.

Case No. 81/2018, instituted for the offences punishable under

Sections 147, 148, 149, 323, 325, 379, 354, 307, 504 and 506 of

the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that there

is case and counter case between the parties. Full brother of
petitioner no. 1 has instituted Bikram P.S. Case No. 82/2018 on
23.03.2018 against the informant and others. In the written report,

there is general and omnibus allegation against these petitioners.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case,

the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is allowed. In the



event of surrender/arrest of the petitioners, named above, within six weeks from today, in connection with Bikram P.S. Case No. 81/2018, they shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M. IV, Danapur, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioners and (3) if petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(Sanjay Priya, J.)

Rakhi

U		T	
---	--	---	--

