

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.37753 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -108 Year- 2018 Thana -PIRO District- BHOJPUR

- =====
1. Golu Kumar, son of Raj Kumar
 2. Manjit Kumar, son of Jitendra Singh, both are resident of village-
Chaturbhuji Baranw, P.S.- Piro, District- Bhojpur (Ara).

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. N.K. Agarwal, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Manoj Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Jha, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

3/ 31-07-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned

APP for the State.

Petitioners apprehend their arrest in **Piro P.S. Case No.108 of 2018** instituted for the offence under Section(s) 341, 323, 354(A), 379, 504 and 506 Indian Penal Code.

Counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have no criminal antecedents. They have been implicated in this case due to enmity.

In the written report, there is general and omnibus allegation that while the informant was going to take medicines, these petitioners attempted to misbehave with her.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer of the petitioners for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. In the



event of surrender/arrest of the petitioners, named above, within six weeks from today in connection with **Piro P.S. Case No.108 of 2018**, they shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the **Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-V, Bhojpur, Ara**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C. with further conditions: (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and their absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be liable to cancel their bail bonds and (3) if petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

**JA/-
Rohit Kr.**

U		T	
---	--	---	--

