

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15014 of 2014

=====

Uday Shankar Ojha Son of Vijay Nidhi Ojha Resident of Village- Maniara, P.O. Sikariya P.S. Tiya, District- Bhojpur (Ara) **Petitioner**

Versus

1. The Union of India through Secretary, Ministry of Home Affairs, New Delhi
 2. Deputy Inspector General, MET CENTER SHQ, SSB, Modern Agro Agencies Building Chakkar Maidan, Muzaffarpur (Bihar) **Respondents**
- =====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Arvind Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr. Manoj Kr. Singh, CGC and
Mr. Anjani Kr. Sharan, ASG

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 28-08-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the respondent Union of India.

The petitioner has filed writ petition praying that the respondents be directed to conduct the Review Medical Board against the findings of the Medical Board declaring him unfit for selection on the post of constable. The petitioner was declared incompetent for selection by the Medical Board which was conducted on 26.7.2011. He was advised that, if so desired, he may approach for appeal against the findings of the Medical Board. The petitioner thereafter made his application for Review Medical Board on 3.7.2011. Application of the petitioner was not found to be in accordance with the requirement inasmuch as he had not provided self addressed envelope along with the application.



Accordingly, his case was not considered for the appeal. Having made his application for appeal against the findings of the Medical Board in the year 2011, the petitioner slept over the same for three years. It is only in July, 2014 that he again made a request to the authorities to conduct Review Medical Examination. In view of the aforesaid delay and laches on the part of the petitioner, this Court does not find any reason to issue any direction in his favour for conducting Review Medical Board in relation to selection process of the year 2011, today in 2018.

The writ petition is devoid of merit and is accordingly dismissed.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

Shashi.

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	30.08.2018
Transmission Date	NA

