

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.43656 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -149 Year- 2015 Thana -BARURAJ District- MUZAFFARPUR

=====

Ram Pravesh Mahato S/o Satya Narayan Mahato Resident of village-
Andaul, P.S.- Baruraj, District- Muzaffarpur

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar Pandey

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Kumar Singh 1

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 30-08-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

Petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Baruraj P.S.Case No. 149 of 2015 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 399, 402, 414, 120B, 121A, 122, 123 of the Indian Penal Code, 25 (1 b)a, 26(ii), 35 of the Arms Act as well as 10, 13, 16(B), 18, 19 and 20 of U.A.P. Act.

Allegation as per FIR is that police on information that criminals were making plan for road dacoity in school premises raided the place and three accused persons were arrested and others fled away.

Submission of learned counsel for the petitioner is that his name transpires in the confessional statement of accused and petitioner has been made accused in other cases also at the



instance of Chaukidar and for that wife of the petitioner has written to the Superintendent of Police as to why petitioner has been implicated in several cases.

Heard learned APP also, who has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail stating that petitioner has criminal antecedents also.

Having heard both sides and in the facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Hence, this application is dismissed.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

spa/-

U			
---	--	--	--

