

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.14725 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -36 Year- 2015 Thana -HULASGANJ District- JEHANABAD

=====

1. Kamlesh Sharma S/o Late Sidheshwar Sharma, R/o Village- Kewla, P.S.-
Hulasganj, District- Jehanabad.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Paras Nath

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Sanjay Kumar Pandey

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

3 02-05-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the

State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in Hulasganj P.S.

Case No. 36/2015 instituted for the offences under Sections 147,

148, 149, 307, 386, 341, 323, 379 and 427 of the Indian Penal

Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that

the petitioner is not named in the written report. His name has

come during course of investigation on the confessional statement

of co-accused Arvind Sharma @ Barmasi Sharma, who was

arrested by the police.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case,

the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is allowed. In the



event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within six weeks from today, in Hulasganj P.S. Case No. 36/2015, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jehanabad, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioner and (3) if petitioner tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J.)

Rakhi

U		T	
---	--	---	--

