

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Appeal (SJ) No.1642 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -343 Year- 2017 Thana -JAMUI District- JAMUI

=====

1. Raju Yadav @ Rajendra Yadav, Son of Late Saryug Yadav, resident of Village-Daulatpur, P.S.+ District- Jamui.

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Prakash Mahto, Adv

For the Respondent/s : Smt. Usha Kumari No.1, SPP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 17-07-2018

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

This is an appeal under Sections 14(A)(2) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, against refusal of the prayer for anticipatory bail by order dated 16.04.2018 by the learned Additional District and Sessions Judge-1st Jamui in connection with Jamui P.S.Case No. 343 of 2017 registered under Sections 302,341,120(B) of the Indian Penal Code, Section 27 of Arms Act and later on Sections 3(1)r/3(ii) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes Act has been added.

According to FIR, the appellant is assailant of Binay Paswan by causing firearm injury. Informant is eye witness of the occurrence. Witness-Shrawan Paswan has also supported



the allegation before the police as eye witness.

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that one of the injured has been examined by the police and he has not stated that the appellant was the main assailant.

Considering the aforesaid material, I am not inclined to enlarge the appellant on anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, this appeal stands dismissed.

(Birendra Kumar, J)

Nitesh/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	19.07.2018
Transmission Date	19.07.2018

