

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.17393 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -318 Year- 2017 Thana -CHAUSA District- MADHEPURA

=====

1. Janardan Thakur, Son of Late Saryug Thakur.
2. Mintu Thakur, Son of Late Saryug Thakur.
Both residents of Village- Laualagan alias Lanalagam, P.S. Chousa, District
Madhepura.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Dr. Sanjay Kumar Singh, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Binod Kumar, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

3 12-07-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the

State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in **Chausa P.S.**
Case No. 318 of 2017 instituted for the offence under Sections
341, 323, 307, 379, 325, 427, 504 and 506/34 of the Indian Penal
Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that
occurrence has taken place on account of land dispute. The
injuries found on the person of the injured are simple in nature
except one injury which is fracture on the shoulder and not on
vital part of the body.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case,



prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioners, named above, within six weeks from today, in connection with **Chausa P.S. Case No. 318 of 2017**, they shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned **Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Udakishunganj, Madhepura**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr. P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and their absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be liable to cancel their bail bond and (3) if petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

S.Ali/-
Rohit Kr.

U		T	
---	--	---	--

